# *p*-adic approach to differential equations

#### Problem set 1

## Amice ring

For every prime number p, the Amice ring is defined as follows

$$\mathcal{A}_p = \left\{ \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} a_n t^n : a_n \in \mathbb{Q}_p, \lim_{n \to -\infty} |a_n|_p = 0 \text{ and } \sup_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} |a_n|_p < \infty \right\}.$$

For every  $f = \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} a_n t^n$ , we set

$$|f|_{\mathcal{G}} = \sup_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} |a_n|_p.$$

(i) Prove that  $||_{\mathcal{G}}$  is a norm. This norm is called the Gauss norm.

(ii) Prove that  $\mathcal{A}_p$  is complete with respect to the Gauss norm.

(iii) Prove that  $\mathbb{Q}(t) \subset \mathcal{A}_p$  and show that

$$\left|\frac{\sum_{i} a_{i} t^{i}}{\sum_{j} b_{j} t^{j}}\right|_{\mathcal{G}} = \frac{\max_{i} |a_{i}|_{p}}{\max_{j} |b_{j}|_{p}}.$$

Conclude that  $E_p \subset \mathcal{A}_p$ , where  $E_p$  is the *p*-adic closure of  $\mathbb{Q}(t)$  called the field of *p*-adic analytic elements.

- (iv) An element of  $f = \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} a_n t^n \in \mathcal{A}_p$  is invertible if and only if there is  $n_0 \in \mathbb{Z}$  such that  $|f|_{\mathcal{G}} = |a_{n_0}|$ .
- (v) If  $f = \sum_{n>0} a_n z^n \in \mathcal{A}_p$  has radius of convergence greater than 1 then  $f \in E_p$ .

## Hypergeometric Frobenius structures

A generalized hypergeometric differential operator of order  $n \ge 1$  is given by

$$L = (\theta + \beta_1 - 1)(\theta + \beta_2 - 1)\dots(\theta + \beta_n - 1) - t(\theta - \alpha_1)\dots(\theta - \alpha_n), \qquad \theta = t\frac{d}{dt}$$

with some complex numbers  $\alpha_1, \ldots, \alpha_n, \beta_1, \ldots, \beta_n$ . This is a Fuchsian operator with singularities at  $0, 1, \infty$ . The local exponents read

$$1 - \beta_1, \dots, 1 - \beta_n \quad \text{at} \quad t = 0,$$
  

$$\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n \quad \text{at} \quad t = \infty,$$
  

$$1, 2, \dots, n - 1, -1 + \sum_{i=1}^n (\beta_i - \alpha_i) \quad \text{at} \quad t = 1$$

The monodromy representation of L is known to be irreducible if and only if  $\alpha_i - \beta_j \notin \mathbb{Z}$  for all i, j. In his thesis in 1961 Levelt gave a beautiful explicit proof of rigidity of monodromy groups of irreducible hypergeometric monodromy operators (see [1, §1.2]).

- (i) Check that an irreducible hypergeometric differential equation satisfies Katz' criterion of rigidity: **Theorem**(Nick Katz, see [1, §4]) Let  $M_1, \ldots, M_r \in GL_n(\mathbb{C})$  be an irreducible tuple satisfying the
  - relation  $M_1 \cdot \ldots \cdot M_r = I$ . Denote  $\delta_i = \operatorname{codim}_{\mathbb{C}} \{A \in M_n(\mathbb{C}) : AM_i = M_i A\}$ . Then
  - (i)  $\delta_1 + \ldots + \delta_r \ge 2(n^2 1),$
  - (ii) the tuple is rigid if and only if  $\delta_1 + \ldots + \delta_r = 2(n^2 1)$ .

To apply this to a Fuchsian differential equation one takes r to be the number of its singular points in  $\mathbb{P}^1(\mathbb{C})$  and  $M_1, \ldots, M_r$  to be monodromy transformations around simple loops around this points.

(ii) Suppose that  $\alpha_i, \beta_j \in \mathbb{Q}$  and  $\alpha_i - \beta_j \notin \mathbb{Z}$  for all i, j. Then the hypergeometric operator L satisfies the conditions of Daniel's Theorem on existence of p-adic Frobenius structure. Compute the order of this Frobenius structure and the set of primes for which it exists using the recipe given in the lecture.

### *p*-adic analytic continuation

Let us consider the hypergeometric series

$$\mathfrak{f}(t) = {}_2F_1(1/2, 1/2, 1; t) = \sum_{n \ge 0} \frac{(1/2)_n^2}{n!^2} t^n.$$

Dwork has shown in his "*p*-adic cycles" paper that, for all p > 2, the quotient  $f(t)/f(t^p)$  belongs to  $E_p$ . More precisely, he showed that for all p > 2 and  $s \ge 1$ 

$$\frac{\mathfrak{f}(t)}{\mathfrak{f}(t^p)} = \frac{\mathfrak{f}_s(t)}{\mathfrak{f}_{s-1}(t^p)} \mod p^s \quad \text{with} \quad \mathfrak{f}_s(t) = \sum_{n=0}^{p^s-1} \frac{(1/2)_n^2}{n!^2} t^n.$$

- (i) Show that the *p*-adic radius of convergence of  $f(t)/f(t^p)$  is 1 for any p > 2.
- (ii) Consider the region

$$\mathcal{D} = \{ y \in \mathbb{Z}_p : |\mathfrak{f}_1(y)|_p = 1 \}$$

and check the following facts:

- (a)  $\{y \in \mathbb{Z}_p : |y| < 1\} \subset \mathcal{D}$ , and if  $y \in \mathcal{D}$  then  $y^p \in \mathcal{D}$ ;
- (b) for every  $s \ge 0$  one has  $|\mathfrak{f}_s(y)|_p = 1$  when  $y \in \mathcal{D}$ ;
- (c) the sequence of rational functions  $\mathfrak{f}_s(y)/\mathfrak{f}_{s-1}(y^p)$  converges uniformly in  $\mathcal{D}$ , and if we denote the limiting analytic function by  $\omega(y) = \lim_{s \to \infty} \mathfrak{f}_s(y)/\mathfrak{f}_{s-1}(y^p)$  then for all  $s \ge 1$

$$\sup_{y \in \mathcal{D}} \left| \omega(y) - \frac{\mathfrak{f}_s(y)}{\mathfrak{f}_{s-1}(y^p)} \right| \le \frac{1}{p^s};$$

(d)  $\mathfrak{f}(t)/\mathfrak{f}(t^p)$  is the restriction of  $\omega(t)$  to  $\{y \in \mathbb{Z}_p : |y|_p < 1\}$ .

**Remark:** The above procedure of analytic continuation allows to evaluate  $\omega(y)$  at points  $y \in \mathbb{Z}_p^{\times}$  such that  $|\mathfrak{f}(y)|_p = 1$ . Dwork also noted that the value  $\omega(y_0)$  at a Teichmuller units  $y_0 \in \mathbb{Z}_p^{\times}$ ,  $y_0^{p-1} = 1$  is equal to the *p*-adic unit root of the elliptic curve  $y^2 = x(x-1)(x-\overline{y}_0)$  where  $\overline{y}_0$  is the reduction of  $y_0$  modulo *p*. The condition  $|f_1(y_0)|_p = 1$  chooses the ordinary elliptic curves in the Legendre family. A vaste generalisation of the above Dwork's congruences along with the evaluation of the respective *p*-adic analytic element is given in "Dwork crystals II" by Beukers-Vlasenko (see Theorem 3.2 and Remark 4.5).

(iii) Argue that the sequence of rational functions  $f_s(t)/f_{s-1}(t^p)$  converges in the Gauss norm, and hence  $\omega(t) \in E_p$ 

### *p*-adic Frobenius structure for differential equations of rank 1

(i) Prove that, for any p > 2, the differential operator

$$\frac{d}{dt} - \frac{\mathfrak{f}'(t)}{\mathfrak{f}(t)}$$

has a p-adic Frobenius structure of period 1. Here f is the hypergeometric function considered in the previous set of exercises.

(ii) Let L = d/dt - a(t) be a differential operator with  $a(t) \in \mathbb{Q}(t)$ . Prove that if L has a p-adic Frobenius structure for almost all primes p then a(t) = f'(t)/f(t) with  $f(t) \in \mathbb{Q}[[t]]$  algebraic over  $\mathbb{Q}(t)$ . Is the converse true?

Hint: Use the fact that the Grothendieck-Katz *p*-curvature conjecture holds for operators of rank 1.

- (iii) Prove that the differential equation d/dt 1 does not have a p-adic Frobenius structure for any p.
- (iv) Let  $\pi_p$  be in  $\overline{\mathbb{Q}}$  such that  $\pi_p^{p-1} = -p$ . Prove that  $d/dt \pi_p$  has a *p*-adic Frobenius structure. **Remark:** A. Pulita in his work *Frobenius structure for rank one p-adic differential equations* gives a characterization of the differential operators of rank 1 having a *p*-adic Frobenius structure for given *p*.

## References

 F. Beukers, Hypergeometric functions of one variable, notes from MRI spring school held in Groningen in 1999 https://webspace.science.uu.nl/~beuke106/springschool99.pdf

# *p*-adic approach to differential equations

#### Problem set 2

Sharif and Woodcock [1] proved that

$$\mathfrak{f}_r(t) = \sum_{n \ge 0} \binom{2n}{n}^r t^n$$

is transcendental over  $\mathbb{Q}(t)$  for r > 1. In fact, they prove that for r > 1 the sequence  $\{deg(\mathfrak{f}_{r|p})\}_{p\in\mathcal{P}}$  is not bounded and thus,  $\mathfrak{f}_r(t)$  transcendental. The fact that  $\mathfrak{f}_r$  is *p*-Lucas for all p > 2 is crucial in their argument for proving that such a sequence is not bounded. (See exercise 2 in Julien's sheet)

The goal of this problem session is to prove the transcendence of power series that are not p-Lucas for any p. For every  $r \ge 1$ , we consider the hypergeometric series

$$\mathfrak{g}_r(t) = \sum_{n \ge 0} \frac{-1}{2n-1} \binom{2n}{n}^r t^n \in 1 + t\mathbb{Z}[[t]].$$

(i) Prove that  $\sum_{n>0} a_n t^n \in 1 + t\mathbb{Z}[[t]]$  is *p*-Lucas if and only if, for all  $m \ge 0$  and all  $s \in \{0, \dots, p-1\}$ ,

$$a_{mp+r} = a_m a_r \bmod p.$$

- (ii) Prove that, for all  $r \ge 1$ ,  $\mathfrak{g}_r(t)$  is not p-Lucas for any p > 2.
- (iii) Prove that, for all p > 2,  $\mathfrak{g}_r(t) = A_p(z)\mathfrak{f}_r(t)^p$ , where  $A_p(t) \in \mathbb{F}_p[t]$  has degree less than p.
- (iv) Prove that, for all p > 2,  $deg(\mathfrak{g}_{r|p}) = deg(\mathfrak{f}_{r|p})$ .
- (v) Conclude that  $\mathfrak{g}_r(t)$  is transcendental over  $\mathbb{Q}(t)$  for r > 1.

#### References

 H. SHARIF AND C. F. WOODCOCK On the transcendence of certain series, J. Algebra 121 (1989), 364–369.